

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,853	04/14/2004	Chikafumi Yokoyama	54769US009	5500	
32692	7590 06/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ATIVE PROPERTIES CO	HECKENBERG.	HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	1722		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	
_	Ì

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 062005				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>—</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/913,687</u> . ed in this National Stage				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orest orest orest or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration or declaration is objected to by the Explanation of the orest orest or declaration or declar	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 10-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
Status						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	Donald Heckenberg	1722				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	YOKOYAMA, CHIKAFUMI Art Unit				
	10/823,853	Applicant(s)				

- 1. The first line of the specification needs to be updated to reflect that the parent application, U.S. Pat. App. 09/913,687, has issued as U.S. Pat. No. 6,843,952.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Pub. No. 08-273537 (previously made of record in the I.D.S. filed on 10 June 2004; hereinafter "JP '537").

Initially, it is noted that claim 10 recites an "assembly of a mold for making a substrate for a plasma display panel comprising base and ribs" in lines 1 and 2. The claim then recites "said mold having..." in line 2. Based on this language, the claim has been interpreted as though the assembly

Art Unit: 1722

phrase in lines 1 and 2 is the preamble of the claim, and the transitional phrase of the claim is "having" in line 2, which is equivalent to open-ended "comprising" terminology. See MPEP 2111.03. Thus, the claim is defining a mold assembly.

Claim 10 further defines a rib precursor for forming the ribs of the plasma display panel. This precursor is part of the material to be used with the mold assembly in order to obtain the plasma display product. It is well settled, however, that the intended use of an apparatus is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus. In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 580 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP 2115. Thus, the rib precursor is not germane to the determination of patentability of the claimed mold assembly apparatus.

Claim 10 still further defines how the mold is made in lines 4-7. Specifically, the mold is defined as being made by using photo-setting components in relation the rib precursor. This limitation is therefore also dependent on the intended use of the claimed apparatus because of the relation to the used rib precursor. Moreover the patentability of a product (in this case- the mold assembly apparatus) does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ

Art Unit: 1722

685, 688 (CCPA 1972); <u>In re Pilkington</u>, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); MPEP § 2113.

JP '537 discloses a mold assembly for making a substrate for a plasma display panel. In the embodiment depicted in Figs. 1a and 1b, the mold assembly (2) comprises concave portions (23). As also shown in Fig. 1b, the mold is flexible, and is transparent to radiation for curing resin when used to from the plasma display panel product.

As noted above, the intended use of a claimed apparatus is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus. In this particular case, JP '537 discloses a mold apparatus with all of the defined structural features recited in claim 10, and moreover is used in making a plasma display panel. The apparatus is thus readily capable of being used with a rib precursor as defined in claim 10, and therefore anticipates this limitation of the claim.

As also noted above, the process by which the productapparatus is made not germane to the issue of patentability of a
claimed apparatus. In this case, JP '537 discloses a mold
apparatus with all of the structural features defined in claim
10, and therefore anticipates the claim, regardless of its
method of manufacturing.

Art Unit: 1722

4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chiu et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,247,986).

Chiu discloses a mold for making, among other things, plasma display panels. The mold assembly (30) comprises concave portions (defined between ribs 24). The mold assembly is flexible, and is transparent to radiation (cl. 10, 11. 48-57 & cl. 11, 11. 44-48).

As noted above, the intended use of a claimed apparatus is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus. In this particular case, Chiu discloses a mold apparatus with all of the defined structural features recited in claim 10, and moreover is used in making a plasma display panel. The apparatus is thus readily capable of being used with a rib precursor as defined in claim 10, and therefore anticipates this limitation of the claim.

As also noted above, the process by which the productapparatus is made not germane to the issue of patentability of a
claimed apparatus. In this case, Chiu discloses a mold
apparatus with all of the structural features defined in claim
10, and therefore anticipates the claim, regardless of its
method of manufacturing.

5. The following reference cited but not relied upon is deemed pertinent to the instant application:

Sakai et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,580,511) discloses a method of forming thick film pattern and material for forming thick film pattern.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/823,853

Art Unit: 1722

see <<http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Patent Examiner A.U. 1722